

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/531,895	GEISSER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JOHN PAK	1616	

**All Participants:**

(1) Examiner Pak.

(2) Mr. Clark.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Mr. Corff.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *On 9/19/2007, Examiner Pak faxed to Mr. Clark and Mr. Corff a draft amendment of the claims for discussion. A copy of this fax is attached hereto for the record.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*See the attached fax.*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Mr. Clark stated on 9/19/2007 that Mr. Corff would get back to the Examiner next week. Mr. Corff contacted the Examiner on 9/24/2007 and inquired about taking out the pH condition and the time condition in step (B). The Examiner agreed to consider such a change but stated that further review was necessary (further review was always necessary, as indicated by the coversheet to the fax). Mr. Corff indicated that he would present the changed amendments to applicant for discussion. On 9/25/2007, Mr. Corff stated that applicant would like an Office action on the claims as currently pending. No agreement was thus reached.



## Patent Technology Centers

### Facsimile Transmission

To:                      Name:                      Mr. Clark / Mr. Corff  
                            Company:  
                            Fax Number:                      912165669711  
                            Voice Phone:

From:                      Name:                      Examiner John Pak  
                            Official Fax Number:                      (571) 273-8300  
                            Official After Final Fax Number:                      (571) 273-8300  
                            Voice Phone:                      571-272-0620

37 C.F.R. 1.6 sets forth the types of correspondence that can be communicated to the Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile transmissions. Applicants are advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures when submitting a reply to a non-final or final Office action by facsimile (37 CFR 1.8(a)).

#### Fax Notes:

---

Please review these changes and let me know if you can authorize these changes, conditioned on their allowability. Upon receiving your conditional authorization, I can complete the examination work to ensure allowability. An answer by Monday, September 24, would be appreciated.

John Pak  
Primary Examiner  
571-272-0620

---

Date and time of transmission: Wednesday, September 19, 2007 10:59:58 AM  
Number of pages including this cover sheet: 02

---

— Part of Interview Summary —

Claim Amendments for Discussion

Claim 1. (Currently amended) A water soluble iron carbohydrate complex obtained by the process comprising:

(A) providing one or more maltodextrins, where, when one maltodextrin is provided, its dextrose equivalent is between 5 and 20, and when a mixture of several maltodextrins is provided, the dextrose equivalent of the mixture is between 5 and 20 and the dextrose equivalent of each individual maltodextrin contained in the mixture is between 2 and 40;

(B) oxidizing said one or more maltodextrins in an aqueous hypochlorite solution, which aqueous hypochlorite solution is at pH 8 to 12 and has a concentration of at least 13% by weight active chlorine, for 10 minutes to 4 hours, to provide oxidized maltodextrins; and

(C) reacting the oxidized maltodextrins with iron (III) salt in an aqueous solution to provide a water soluble iron carbohydrate complex;

wherein

(i) the iron (III) salt amount is selected to provide 10 to 40% by weight iron content in the complex, and

(ii) the weight average molecular weight of the complex is 80 kDa to 400 kDa,

- 
- Amend all other claims to correspond to above. Same language carried throughout.
  - Rewrite "Use of" claims to acceptable U.S. method claims.
  - Rephrase "prophylaxis" as reducing the incidence of iron deficiency.
  - Other minor language changes are expected in order to conform to above.
  - Insert 371 data at the top of the specification, below the Title.

— Part of Interview Summary —